1	LAFAYETTE & KUMAGAI LLP	9777	
2	GARY T. LAFAYETTE (State Bar No. 088666)  glafayette@lkclaw.com SUSAN T. KUMAGAI (State Bar No. 127667)		
3	skumagai@ikciaw.com	667)	
4	ERIC R. DEWALT (State Bar No. 13850) edewalt@lkclaw.com		
5	100 Spear Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, California 94105		
6	Telephone: (415) 357-4600 Facsimile: (415) 3574605		
7	OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH,		
8	SMOAK & STEWART, P.C. MARCUS A. MCDANIEL, State Bar No.	151357	
9	marcus.mcdaniel@ogletreedeakins.com ANDREA G. DUCKWORTH, State Bar No. 249518		
10	andrea.duckworth@ogletreedeakins.com 633 West Fifth Street, 53rd Floor	0.219310	
11	Los Angeles, California 9007		
12	Telephone: (213) 239-9800 Facsimile: (213) 239-9045		
	Attorneys for Defendant		
13	ADT SÉCURITY SERVICES, INC.		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	NOKTHEKN DISTRIC	CI OF CALIFORNIA	
1/			
16	KATHERINE ARAGON an individual	Case No. CV 07-01414 MHP	
17	KATHERINE ARAGON, an individual,	Case No. CV 07-01414 MHP	
	KATHERINE ARAGON, an individual, Plaintiff,	STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER; [PROPOSED] ORDER	
17	,	STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER; [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON	
17 18	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER; [PROPOSED] ORDER	
17 18 19	Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER; [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON	
17 18 19 20	Plaintiff, v. ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC., a	STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER; [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON  [Pursuant to N.D. Cal. Form]	
17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiff, v.  ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC., a corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER; [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON  [Pursuant to N.D. Cal. Form]	
17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, v.  ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC., a corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER; [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON  [Pursuant to N.D. Cal. Form]	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, v.  ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC., a corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER; [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON  [Pursuant to N.D. Cal. Form]	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, v.  ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC., a corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER; [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON  [Pursuant to N.D. Cal. Form]	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, v.  ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC., a corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER; [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON  [Pursuant to N.D. Cal. Form]	

#### 1. PURPOSES AND LIMITATION

Disclosure and discovery activity in this action are likely to involve production of confidential, proprietary, or private information for which special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this litigation would be warranted. Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate to and petition the court to enter the following Stipulated Protective Order. The parties acknowledge that this Order does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to discovery and that the protection it affords extends only to the limited information or items that are entitled under the applicable legal principles to treatment as confidential. The parties further acknowledge, as set forth in Section 10, below, that this Stipulated Protective Order creates no entitlement to file confidential information under seal; Civil Local Rule 79-5 sets forth the procedures that must be followed and reflects the standards that will be applied when a party seeks permission from the court to file material under seal.

#### 2. DEFINITIONS

- 2.1 <u>Party</u>: any party to this action, including all of its officers, directors, employees, consultants, retained experts, and outside counsel (and their support staff).
- 2.1 <u>Disclosure or Discovery Material</u>: all items or information, regardless of the medium or manner generated, stored, or maintained (including, among other things, testimony, transcripts, or tangible things) that are produced or generated in disclosures or responses to discovery in this matter.
- 2.3 <u>"Confidential" Information or Items</u>: information (regardless of how generated, stored or maintained) or tangible things that qualify for protection under standards developed under F.RCiv.P. 26(c).
- 2.4 "<u>Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" Information or Items</u>: extremely sensitive "Confidential Information or Items" whose disclosure to another Party or non-party would create a substantial risk of serious injury that could not be

avoided by less restrictive means.

- 2.5 <u>Receiving Party</u>: a Party that receives Disclosure or Discovery Material from a Producing Party.
- 2.6 <u>Producing Party</u>: a Party or non-party that produces Disclosure or Discovery Material in this action.
- 2.7 <u>Designating Party</u>: a Party or non-party that designates information or items that it produces in disclosures or in responses to discovery as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only."
- 2.8 <u>Protected Material</u>: any Disclosure or Discovery Material that is designated as "Confidential" or as "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only."
- 2.9 <u>Outside Counsel</u>: attorneys who are not employees of a Party but who are retained to represent or advise a Party in this action.
  - 2.10 <u>House Counsel</u>: attorneys who are employees of a Party.
- 2.11 <u>Counsel (without qualifier)</u>: Outside Counsel and House Counsel (as well as their support staffs).
- 2.12 Expert: a person with specialized knowledge or experience in a matter pertinent to the litigation who has been retained by a Party or its counsel to serve as an expert witness or as a consultant in this action and who is not a past or a current employee of a Party or of a competitor of a Party's and who, at the time of retention, is not anticipated to become an employee of a Party or a competitor of a Party's. This definition includes a professional jury or trial consultant retained in connection with this litigation.
- 2.13 <u>Professional Vendors</u>: persons or entities that provide litigation support services (e.g., photocopying; videotaping; translating; preparing exhibits or demonstrations; organizing, storing, retrieving data in any form or medium; etc.) and their employees and subcontractors.

27 ///

22

23

24

25 l

26

28 ///

#### 3. SCOPE

The protections conferred by this Stipulation and Order cover not only Protected Material (as defined above), but also any information copied or extracted therefrom, as well as all copies, excerpts, summaries, or compilations thereof, plus testimony, conversations, or presentations by parties or counsel to or in court or in other settings that might reveal Protected Material.

#### 4. DURATION

Even after the termination of this litigation, the confidentiality obligations imposed by this Order shall remain in effect until a Designating Party agrees otherwise in writing or a court order otherwise directs.

#### 5. DESIGNATION OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

5.1 Exercise of Restraint and Care in Designating Material for Protection.

Each Party or non-party that designates information or items for protection under this Order must take care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under the appropriate standards. A Designating Party must take care to designate for protection only those parts of material, documents, items, or oral or written communications that qualify – so that other portions of the material, documents, items, or communications for which protection is not warranted are not swept unjustifiably within the ambit of this Order.

Mass, indiscriminate, or routinized designations are prohibited. Designations that are shown to be clearly unjustified, or that have been made for an improper purpose (e.g., to unnecessarily encumber or retard the case development process, or to impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties), expose the Designating Party to sanctions.

If it comes to a Party's or a non-party's attention that information or items that it designated for protection do not qualify for protection at all, or do not qualify for the level of protection initially asserted, that Party or non-party must promptly notify all other parties that it is withdrawing the mistaken designation.

5.2 <u>Manner and Timing of Designations</u>. Except as otherwise provided in this Order (see, e.g., second paragraph of section 5.2(a), below), or as otherwise stipulated or ordered, material that qualifies for protection under this Order must be clearly so designated before the material is disclosed or produced.

Designation in conformity with this Order requires:

(a) for information in documentary form (apart from transcripts of depositions or other pretrial or trial proceedings), that the Producing Party affix the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" at the top of each page that contains protected material If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins) and must specify, for each portion, the level of protection being asserted (either "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY").

A Party or non-party that makes original documents or materials available for inspection need not designate them for protection until after the inspecting Party has indicated which material it would like copied and produced. During the inspection and before the designation, all of the material made available for inspection shall be deemed "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." After the inspecting Party has identified the documents it wants copied and produced, the Producing Party must determine which documents, or portions thereof, qualify for protection under this Order, then, before producing the specified documents, the Producing Party must affix the appropriate legend ("CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY") at the top of each page that contains Protected Material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins) and must specify, for each portion, the level of protection being asserted (either

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27

"CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY").

for testimony given in deposition or in other pretrial or trial (b) proceedings, that the Party or non-party offering or sponsoring the testimony identify on the record, before the close of the deposition, hearing, or other proceeding, all protected testimony, and further specify any portions of the testimony that qualify as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." When it is impractical to identify separately each portion of testimony that is entitled to protection, and when it appears that substantial portions of the testimony may qualify for protection, the Party or non-party that sponsors, offers, or gives the testimony may invoke on the record (before the deposition or proceeding is concluded) a right to have up to 20 days to identify the specific portions of the testimony as to which protection is sought and to specify the level of protection being asserted ("CONFIDENTIAL" or `HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY"). Only those portions of the testimony that are appropriately designated for protection within the 20 days shall be covered by the provisions of this Stipulated Protective Order.

Transcript pages containing Protected Material must be separately bound by the court reporter, who must affix to the top of each such page the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY," as instructed by the Party or non-party offering or sponsoring the witness or presenting the testimony.

for information produced in some form other than documentary, and for any other tangible items, that the Producing Party affix in a prominent place on the exterior of the container or containers in which the information or item is stored the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." If only portions of the information or item warrant protection, the Producing Party, to the extent practicable, shall identify the protected portions,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

specifying whether they qualify as "Confidential" or as "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only."

5.3 Inadvertent Failures to Designate. If timely corrected, an inadvertent failure to designate qualified information or items as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" does not, standing alone, waive the Designating Party's right to secure protection under this Order for such material. If material is appropriately designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" after the material was initially produced, the Receiving Party, on timely notification of the designation, must make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is treated in accordance with the provisions of this Order.

#### CHALLENGING CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS 6.

- 6.1 Timing of Challenges. Unless a prompt challenge to a Designating Party's confidentiality designation is necessary to avoid foreseeable substantial unfairness, unnecessary economic burdens, or a later significant disruption or delay of the litigation, a Party does not waive its right to challenge a confidentiality designation by electing not to mount a challenge promptly after the original designation is disclosed.
- 6.2 Meet and Confer. A Party that elects to initiate a challenge to a Designating Party's confidentiality designation must do so in good faith and must begin the process by conferring directly (in voice to voice dialogue; other forms of communication are not sufficient) with counsel for the Designating Party. In conferring, the challenging Party must explain the basis for its belief that the confidentiality designation was not proper and must give the Designating Party an opportunity to review the designated material, to reconsider the circumstances, and, if no change in designation is offered, to explain the basis for the chosen designation. A challenging Party may proceed to the next stage of the challenge process only if it has engaged in this meet and confer process first.
  - 6.3 Judicial Intervention. A Party that elects to press a challenge to a

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

confidentiality designation after considering the justification offered by the Designating Party may file and serve a motion under Civil Local Rule 7 (and in compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5, if applicable) that identifies the challenged material and sets forth in detail the basis for the challenge. Each such motion must be accompanied by a competent declaration that affirms that the movant has complied with the meet and confer requirements imposed in the preceding paragraph and that sets forth with specificity the justification for the confidentiality designation that was given by the Designating Party in the meet and confer dialogue.

The burden of persuasion in any such challenge proceeding shall be on the Designating Party. Until the court rules on the challenge, all parties shall continue to afford the material in question the level of protection to which it is entitled under the Producing Party's designation.

#### 7. ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

Basic Principles. A Receiving Party may use Protected Material that is 7.1 disclosed or produced by another Party or by a non-party in connection with this case only for prosecuting, defending, or attempting to settle this litigation. Such Protected Material maybe disclosed only to the categories of persons and under the conditions described in this Order. When the litigation has been terminated, a Receiving Party must comply with the provisions of section 11, below (FINAL DISPOSITION).

Protected Material must be stored and maintained by a Receiving Party at a location and in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorized under this Order.

- 7.2 Disclosure of "CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items. Unless otherwise ordered by the court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated CONFIDENTIAL only to:
- (a) the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of record in this action, as well as employees of said Counsel to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the

information for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A;

- (b) the officers, directors, and employees (including House Counsel) of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A);
- (c) experts (as defined in this Order) of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A);
  - (d) the Court and its personnel;
- (e) court reporters, their staffs, and professional vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A);
- (f) during their depositions, witnesses in the action to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A). Pages of transcribed deposition testimony or exhibits to depositions that reveal Protected Material must be separately bound by the court reporter and may not be disclosed to anyone except as permitted under this Stipulated Protective Order;
  - (g) the author of the document or the original source of the information.
- 7.3 <u>Disclosure of "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES</u>

  ONLY" Information or Items. Unless otherwise ordered by the court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" only to:
- (a) the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of record in this action, as well as employees of said Counsel to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the information for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A;

- (b) Experts (as defined in this Order) (1) to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation, (2) who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A), and (3) as to whom the procedures set forth in paragraph 7.4, below, have been followed;
  - (c) the Court and its personnel;
- (d) court reporters, their staffs, and professional vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A); and
  - (e) the author of the document or the original source of the information.
- 7.4 <u>Procedures for Approving Disclosure</u> of "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" Information or Items to "Experts":
- Designating Party, a Party that seeks to disclose to an "Expert" (as defined in this Order) any information or item that has been designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" first must make a written request to the Designating Party that (1) identifies the specific HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL information that the Receiving Party seeks permission to disclose to the Expert, (2) sets forth the full name of the Expert and the city and state of his or her primary residence, (3) attaches a copy of the Expert's current resume, (4) identifies the Expert's current employer(s), (5) identifies each person or entity from whom the Expert has received compensation for work in his or her areas of expertise or to whom the expert has provided professional services at any time during the preceding five years, and (6) identifies (by name and number of the case, filing date, and location of court) any litigation in connection with which the Expert has provided any professional services during the preceding five years.
- (b) A Party that makes a request and provides the information specified in the preceding paragraph may disclose the subject Protected Material to the identified Expert unless, within seven court days of delivering the request, the Party receives a

11 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

written objection from the Designating Party. Any such objection must set forth in detail the grounds on which it is based.

A Party that receives a timely written objection must meet and confer (c) with the Designating Party (through direct voice to voice dialogue) to try to resolve the matter by agreement. If no agreement is reached, the Party seeking to make the disclosure to the Expert may file a motion as provided in Civil Local Rule 7 (and in compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5, if applicable) seeking permission from the court to do so. Any such motion must describe the circumstances with specificity, set forth in detail the reasons for which the disclosure to the Expert is reasonably necessary, assess the risk of harm that the disclosure would entail and suggest any additional means that might be used to reduce that risk. In addition, any such motion must be accompanied by a competent declaration in which the movant describes the parties' efforts to resolve the matter by agreement (i.e., the extent and the content of the meet and confer discussions) and sets forth the reasons advanced by the Designating Party for its refusal to approve the disclosure.

In any such proceedings the Party opposing disclosure to the Expert shall bear the burden of proving that the risk of harm that the disclosure would entail (under the safeguards proposed) outweighs the Receiving Party's need to disclose the Protected Material to its Expert.

#### 8. PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN OTHER LITIGATION.

If a Receiving Party is served with a subpoena or an order issued in other litigation that would compel disclosure of any information or items designated in this action as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS" EYES ONLY," the Receiving Party must so notify the Designating Party, in writing (by fax, if possible) immediately and in no event more than three court days after receiving the subpoena or order. Such notification must include a copy of the subpoena or court order.

The Receiving Party also must immediately inform in writing the Party who caused the subpoena or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all the material covered by the subpoena or order is the subject of this Protective Order. In addition, the Receiving Party must deliver a copy of this Stipulated Protective Order promptly to the Party in the other action that caused the subpoena or order to issue.

The purpose of imposing these duties is to alert the interested parties to the existence of this Protective Order and to afford the Designating Party in this case an opportunity to try to protect its confidentiality interests in the court from which the subpoena or order issued. The Designating Party shall bear the burdens and the expenses of seeking protection in that court of its confidential material – and nothing in these provisions should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a Receiving Party in this action to disobey a lawful directive from another court.

### 9. <u>UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL</u>

If a Receiving Party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Protected Material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Stipulated Protective Order, the Receiving Party must immediately (a) notify in writing the Designating Party of the unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all copies of the Protected Material, (c) inform the person or persons too whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this Order, and (d) request such person or persons to execute the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

#### 10. FILING PROTECTED MATERIAL

Without written permission from the Designating Party or a court order secured after appropriate notice to all interested persons, a Party may not file in the public record in this action any Protected Material. A Party that seeks to file under seal any Protected Material must comply with Civil Local Rule 79-5.

#### 11. FINAL DISPOSITION

Unless otherwise ordered or agreed in writing by the Producing Party, within

sixty days after the final termination of this action, each Receiving Party must return 2 all Protected Material to the Producing Party. As used in this subdivision, "all Protected Material" includes all copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries or any 3 other form of reproducing or capturing any of the Protected Material. With permission in writing from the Designating Party, the Receiving Party may destroy 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 MISCELLANEOUS 12. 18 19 20

some or all of the Protected Material instead of returning it. Whether the Protected Material is returned or destroyed, the Receiving Party must submit a written certification to the Producing Party (and, if not the same person or entity, to the Designating Party) by the sixty day deadline that identifies (by category, where appropriate) all the Protected Material that was returned or destroyed and that affirms that the Receiving Party has not retained any copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries or other forms of reproducing or capturing any of the Protected Material Notwithstanding this provision, Counsel are entitled to retain an archival copy of all pleadings, motion papers, transcripts, legal memoranda, correspondence or attorney work product, even if such materials contain Protected Material Any such archival copies that contain or constitute Protected Material remain subject to this Protective Order as set forth in Section 4 (DURATION), above. Right to Further Relief. Nothing in this Order abridges the right of any person to seek its modification by the Court in the future. 12.2 Right to Assert Other Objections. By stipulating to the entry of this Protective Order no Party waives any right it otherwise would have to object to

26

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

disclosing or producing any information or item on any ground not addressed in this

Stipulated Protective Order. Similarly, no Party waives any right to object on any

ground to use in evidence of any of the material covered by this Protective Order.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THRO	UGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.
2		
3	DATED: February 21, 2008	LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL T. WELCH
4		
5		Dw. /s/Michael T. Welch
6		By: /s/Michael T. Welch  Michael T. Welch
7		Attorneys for Plaintiff KATHERINE ARAGON
8		KATHERINE ARAGON
9	DATED: Echmory 21, 2008	OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH,
10	DATED: February 21, 2008	SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.
11		
12		
13		By: /s/Marcus A. McDaniel  Marcus A. McDaniel
14		Attorneys for Defendant
15		ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC.
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		14

# PROOF OF SERVICE BY UNITED STATES MAIL STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California; I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action. My business address is 633 West Fifth Street, 53rd Floor, Los Angeles, California 90071.

On February 26, 2008, I served a true copy of the following document(s) described as:

STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER; [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON

on the persons below as follows:

Michael T. Welch, Esq.
Law Offices of Michael T. Welch
Four Embarcadero Center
39<sup>th</sup> Floor
San Francisco, California 94111

Eric DeWalt, Esq.
Lafayette & Kumagai LLP
100 Spear Street
Suite 600
San Francisco, California 94105

I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses as indicated above and:

- deposited the sealed envelope or package with the United States Postal Service, with the postage fully prepaid.\*
- placed the envelope or package for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United State Postal Service, in a sealed envelope or package with postage fully prepaid.

I am employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Los Angeles, California.

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the above is true and correct.

Executed on February 26, 2008, at Los Angeles, California.

Elizabeth Mendoza/s/Elizabeth MendozaType NameSignature

st (SIGNATURE MUST BE OF PERSON DEPOSITING ENVELOPE IN MAIL SLOT, BOX OR BAG)

# 

## 

EXHIBIT "A"

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND

I,	, decl	are under penalty of per	jury that I have read in i	its
entirety and und	erstand the Stipulated Pro	tective Order that was si	gned by the United Stat	tes
District Court fo	r the Northern District of	California on	in the case of	f
Aragon v. ADT S	Security Services, Inc., Ca	ase No. CV 07-01414 M	HP. I agree to comply	
with and to be be	ound by all the terms of the	nis Stipulated Protective	Order and I understand	
and acknowledge	e that failure to so comply	y could expose me to sar	actions and punishment	in
the nature of con	ntempt. I solemnly promi	se that I will not disclose	e in any manner any	
information or it	em that is subject to this	Stipulated Protective Ord	der to any person or enti	ity
except in strict c	ompliance with the provi	sions of this Order.		
I further a	agree to submit to the juri	sdiction of the United St	ates District Court for the	he
Northern Distric	t of California for the pur	pose of enforcing the ter	rms of this Stipulated	
Protective Order	, even if such enforcemen	nt proceedings occur afte	er termination of this	
action.				
I hereby a	appoint	of	as my	r
California agent	for service of process in	connection with this acti	on or any proceedings	
related to enforce	ement of this Stipulated F	Protective Order.		
Date:				
City and State w	here sworn and signed:			
Printed Name:				
Signature:				

1	LAFAYETTE & KUMAGAI LLP GARY T. LAFAYETTE (State Bar No. 08860	56)
2	glafayette@lkclaw.com SUSAN T. KUMAGAI (State Bar No. 12766'	
3	skumagai@lkclaw.com ERIC R. DEWALT (state Bar No. 138560)	
4	edewalt@lkclaw.com 100 Spear Street, Suite 600	
5	San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 357-4600	
6	Facsimile: (415) 357-4605	
7	OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.	1257
8	MARCUS A. MCDANIEL, State Bar No. 151 marcus.mcdaniel@ogletreedeakins.com ANDREA G. DUCKWORTH, State Bar No. 2	
10	andrea.duckworth@ogletreedeakins.com 633 West Fifth Street, 53rd Floor	247310
11	Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 239-9800	
12	Facsimile: (213) 239-9045	
13	Attorneys for Defendant ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC.	
14	LINITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
15 16	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
16	KATHERINE ARAGON, an individual,	Case No. CV 07-01404 MHP
16 17		Case No. CV 07-01404 MHP  [PROPOSED] ORDER RE STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE
16 17 18	KATHERINE ARAGON, an individual,	Case No. CV 07-01404 MHP  [PROPOSED] ORDER RE
16 17 18 19	KATHERINE ARAGON, an individual,  Plaintiff,  v.  ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC., a	Case No. CV 07-01404 MHP  [PROPOSED] ORDER RE  STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER
16 17 18 19 20	KATHERINE ARAGON, an individual,  Plaintiff,  v.  ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC., a corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	Case No. CV 07-01404 MHP  [PROPOSED] ORDER RE STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE
16 17 18 19 20 21	KATHERINE ARAGON, an individual,  Plaintiff,  v.  ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC., a	Case No. CV 07-01404 MHP  [PROPOSED] ORDER RE  STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	KATHERINE ARAGON, an individual,  Plaintiff,  v.  ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC., a corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	Case No. CV 07-01404 MHP  [PROPOSED] ORDER RE  STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	KATHERINE ARAGON, an individual,  Plaintiff,  v.  ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC., a corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	Case No. CV 07-01404 MHP  [PROPOSED] ORDER RE  STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	KATHERINE ARAGON, an individual,  Plaintiff,  v.  ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC., a corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	Case No. CV 07-01404 MHP  [PROPOSED] ORDER RE  STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	KATHERINE ARAGON, an individual,  Plaintiff,  v.  ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC., a corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	Case No. CV 07-01404 MHP  [PROPOSED] ORDER RE  STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

[PROPOSED] ORDER RE STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

CASE NO. CV 07-01404 MHP

5517878\_1